

# EXHIBIT “A”

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR  
THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

---

EDUARDO ROSARIO	:	CIVIL NO. _____
	:	
v.	:	
ALEX TORRES PRODUCTIONS, INC.	:	
	:	
and	:	
	:	
LA GUIRA, INC. d/b/a RED WINE	:	
RESTAURANT	:	COMPLAINT

---

**COMPLAINT-CIVIL CASE**

**NOW COMES THE PLAINTIFF** and prays, alleges and states as follows:

**PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff, Eduardo Rosario is an adult individual, who at all times relevant hereto is paraplegic who requires the use of a wheelchair at all times for mobility and who resides at 2940 A. Waldorf Avenue, Camden, New Jersey.

2. Defendant, Alex Torres Productions, Inc., is a foreign corporation or other business entity, authorized to do business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, having its principle place of business located at 651 Weybridge Court, Lake Mary, Fl, 32746. ("Torres")

3. Defendant, La Guira, Inc. is a corporation or other business entity, organized and existing pursuant to the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, which does business as the Red Wine Restaurant, having its principle place of business at 701 Adams Avenue, Philadelphia PA 19124. ("Red Wine")

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

4. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12101 et. seq. because the instant case arises under federal law, specifically under the American with Disabilities Act as amended, Subchapter III, § 12182. (42 USC 12182), and its implementing regulations under 28 C.F.R. § 36.101 et seq. Also, the Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 for civil actions arising under the laws of the United States; and for actions under laws providing for the protection of civil rights as per 28 U.S.C. § 1343. On this matter, the Court has supplemental jurisdiction over claims based in Pennsylvania State Law as stated in 28 U.S.C. § 1367. Declaratory and injunctive relief is also pursued under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) as the Eastern District is the judicial district in which a substantial portion of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims alleged herein occurred. Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands trial by jury on all triable matters of the instant case.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

5. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant, Red Wine, owned, controlled and/or was exclusively responsible for the maintenance and condition of a facility which is open to the public known as the Red Win Restaurant, located at 701 Adams Avenue, Philadelphia PA 19124. ("Red Wine")

6. Defendant, Red Wine offered the use of its location to performers to provide entertainment services to its customers.

7. Customers who which to attend the performances were required to pay an admission fee.

8. At all times relevant hereto Defendant, Torres, was a promoter who provided entertainers and/or performers to businesses such as Defendant, Red Wine.

9. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Red Wine, hired Defendant, Torres to promote a comedy show to take place at the Red Wine Restaurant on February 10, 2019.

10. Defendant, Torres was responsible to ensure that accommodations for the show were compliant with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended 42 USC, Chapter 126, 12101 et. seq., ("ADA") and the local equivalency thereof.

11. Plaintiff purchased a ticket to the comedy show after confirming that the Red Wine Restaurant was accessible to person in a wheelchair.

12. On February 10, 2019, Plaintiff, a resident of New Jersey was denied participation and/or use of the facilities under the control and management of Defendants, because said facilities were neither fully accessible and/or independently usable to people who ride wheelchairs, to wit, the comedy show was on a lower floor which was only accessible by stairs.

13. The Red Wine Restaurant is a place of public accommodation under the meaning of the ADA, as per 42 USC 12181(7)(A) Plaintiff has a mobility disability that substantially limits one or more of his major life activities, including walking and climbing stair and thus is disabled under the meaning and pursuant to the ADA

14. Plaintiff, who requires a wheelchair at all times, was unable to access the lower floor, where rooms and amenities under control of Defendants, are located.

15. A guest elevator was not available and alternatives for access were not offered, although Plaintiff was assured that the facility was wheelchair accessible.

16. Plaintiff suffered denial of equal services and access as compared to other venue guests not having a mobility disability, humiliation resulting from being singled out as a person with a disability and the inconvenience of having the added inconvenience being unable to attend the advertised show.

17. The lower floors of the Red Wine lack minimum accessibility requirements under the ADA and its interpreting rules and regulations, as contained in the Code of Federal Regulations.

18. A public accommodation shall make reasonable modifications in, practices, or procedures when the modifications are necessary to afford goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with disabilities. 42 U.S.C. §12182(b)(2)(A)(ii); 28 C.F.R. §36.302(a). The defendant discriminated against the plaintiff based upon the plaintiff's disability by (a) failing to make a requested reasonable modification that was (b) necessary to accommodate the plaintiff's disability.

19. Lack of access and denial of participation and "...full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation..." to Plaintiff is exclusively due to Defendants' failure to observance, of among other statutes, ADA's minimum accessibility requirements for commercial facilities, more specifically Section 12182 et. seq. of ADA, as amended, which prays as follows:

"Prohibition of discrimination by public accommodations

(a) General rule

No individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation by any person who



owns, leases (or leases to), or operates a place of public accommodation.

(b) Construction

(1) General prohibition

(A) Activities

(i) Denial of participation

It shall be discriminatory to subject an individual or class of individuals on the basis of a disability or disabilities of such individual or class, directly, or through contractual, licensing, or other arrangements, to a denial of the opportunity of the individual or class to participate in or benefit from the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of an entity.

(ii) Participation in unequal benefit

It shall be discriminatory to afford an individual or class of individuals, on the basis of a disability or disabilities of such individual or class, directly, or through contractual, licensing, or other arrangements with the opportunity to participate in or benefit from a good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation that is not equal to that afforded to other individuals.

(iii) Separate benefit

It shall be discriminatory to provide an individual or class of individuals, on the basis of a disability or disabilities of such individual or class, directly, or through contractual, licensing, or other arrangements with a good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation that is different or separate from that provided to other individuals, unless such action is necessary to provide the individual or class of individuals with a good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation, or other opportunity that is as effective as that provided to others.

(iv) Individual or class of individuals

For purposes of clauses (i) through (iii) of this subparagraph, the term "individual or class of individuals" refers to the clients or customers of the covered public accommodation that enters into the contractual, licensing or other arrangement.

(B) Integrated settings

Goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, and accommodations shall be afforded to an individual with a disability in the most integrated setting appropriate to the needs of the individual.

(C) Opportunity to participate

Notwithstanding the existence of separate or different programs or activities provided in accordance with this section, an individual with a disability shall not be denied the opportunity to participate in such programs or activities that are not separate or different."

20. The ADA, as amended, was enacted on July 26, 1990. It was at the time the first comprehensive federal civil rights law prohibiting discrimination due to a disability. The statute is meant to protect the rights of individuals with disabilities in the areas of employment, access to both State and local government services, as well as places of public accommodation and transportation.

21. "In enacting the ADA, Congress adopted two distinct systems for regulating building accessibility: one to apply to existing facilities (those designed and constructed for occupancy before January 26, 1993) and another to apply to later-constructed facilities. 42 U.S.C. §§ 12183(a)(1) and 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).

22. The grandfathered facilities must remove barriers to access only to the extent that such removal is "readily achievable." 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv). "Readily achievable" is defined as "easily accomplishable and able to be carried out without much difficulty or expense." 42 U.S.C. § 12181(9)." See *Long v. Coast Resorts, Inc.*, 267 F.3d 918.

23. Title III of the former, requires places that provide public accommodation to comply with ADA standards and to be readily accessible to, and usable by, individuals with disabilities, as per 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181-89.

24. Defendants are required by the ADA to remove existing architectural barriers when such removal is readily achievable for places of public accommodation that were built prior to January 26, 1992, according to and 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv), and its rules and regulations under 28 C.F.R. § 36.304(a).

25. The ADA lists factors for determining whether a measure is “readily achievable”, looking at the difficulty and expense of the measure as balanced against the resources available to the covered entity. These factors are:

- the nature and cost of the action needed under the (ADA);
  - the overall financial resources of the facility or facilities involved in the action;
  - the number of persons employed at such facility;
  - the effect on expenses and resources or the impact otherwise of such action upon the operation of the facility;
  - the overall financial resources of the covered entity, the overall size of the business of a covered entity with respect to the number of its employees; the number, type, and location of its facilities; and
  - the type of operation or operations of the covered entity, including the composition, structure, and functions of the workforce of such entity; the geographic separateness, administrative or fiscal relationship of the facility or facilities in question to the covered entity. (42 § U.S.C. 12181(9); 28 C.F.R. § 36.304).
- “Plaintiff bears the initial burden of production to present evidence that a suggested method of barrier removal is readily achievable, i.e., can be accomplished easily and without much difficulty or expense. If Plaintiff satisfies this burden, Defendant then has the opportunity to rebut that showing. Defendant bears the ultimate burden of persuasion regarding its affirmative defense that a suggested method of barrier removal is not readily achievable.” *Colorado Cross Disability Coalition v. Hermanson Family Ltd. Partnership I*, 264 F.3d 999. Architectural barrier removal should comply with ADAAG to the extent of being readily achievable. 28 C.F.R.



§36.304(d)(1); ADA Technical Assistance Manual III-4.4300: Pickern v. Best Western Timber Cove Lodge Marina Resort, 2002 WL 202442. See also D'Lil v. Stardust Vacation Club, 2001 WL 1825832 (finding ADAAG "valuable guidance" even with respect to existing facilities)

26. In accordance with the aforementioned, If there has been alteration to Defendants' facilities since January 26, 1992, Defendants are then required to ensure to the maximum extent feasible, that the altered portions of the facilities are readily accessible to, and usable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use wheelchairs, as per 28 C.F.R. § 36.402.

27. Alternatively, If the Defendants' facilities were designed and constructed after January 26, 1992, as defined in 28 C.F.R. § 36.401, then the Defendants' facilities must be readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities as defined by the ADA.

28. Architectural barriers removal could be readily achieved, and access easily provided if Defendant executes simple alterations to the facilities such as elevators, commercial vertical platform wheelchair lifts, inclined wheelchair stair lifts, regular inclined ramps and the proper placement of bathroom fixtures in the guest rooms.

29. All disabled Pennsylvanians are entitled to full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, and privileges of any place of public accommodation under the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P. S. § § 951—963.

30. Last but not least, the law mandates that owners of places of public accommodations remove architectural barriers to ensure that individuals with disabilities are not excluded because of their reliance on a mobility device, as per The Pennsylvania Human Relations Act and applicable code provisions.

31. Thus, the ADA and Pennsylvania Law impose on the Defendants a mandatory requirement for places of public accommodation accessible to disabled individuals.

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION  
VIOLATION OF THE AMERICAN WITH DISABILITIES ACT**

32. All prior allegations are herein re-alleged and incorporated into this cause of action.

33. Plaintiff possesses a qualified disability under the meaning of the ADA, to wit, a mobility-related disability that requires the use of a wheelchair at all times. See 42 U.S.C. § 12131(2).

34. Title III of the American with Disabilities Act, as amended, mandates that persons with disabilities are entitled to equal access to places of public accommodation. Failure to provide said access is considered to be discrimination under the meaning of the law.

35. Compliance with Title III of the ADA means that public accommodation facilities must be readily accessible to, and independently usable by, disabled persons. 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181-89 Accessibility standards are specified and codified under 28 C.F.R. § Pt. 36.

36. Red Wine is a place of public accommodation as per 42 U.S.C. § 12181(7)(E).

37. Defendant has failed to remove architectural barriers and/or alter its facilities in order to make them readily accessible to people with disabilities according to 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(1).

38. Defendants have discriminated against plaintiffs by failing to provide a full and equal opportunity to enjoy the services Defendants provide, as per 42 U.S.C. §§ 12182, 12183(a)(1). 23. Defendants inobservance of the law is ongoing and continuous, requiring

declaratory and injunctive relief appropriate by means of 42 U.S.C. § 12182, as well as Fed. R. Civ. P. 57, and 28 U.S.C. § 2201.

**SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION  
VIOLATION OF THE PENNSYLVANIA  
HUMAN RELATIONS ACT, 43 P. S. § § 951—963**

39. All prior allegations are herein re-alleged and incorporated into this cause of action.

40. The Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, is comprised of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P. S. § § 951—963 (which provides the substance of the law) and Chapter 44 of the Pennsylvania Code, “Discrimination On The Basis Of Handicap Or Disability”. (“PHRA”)

41. In general, disability discrimination claims under the PHRA rise or fall in tandem with disability discrimination claims brought pursuant to the federal ADA.

42. Defendants are subject to PHRA because the Red Wine Restaurant is a place of public accommodation as defined by this statute, as well as the ADA.

43. The PHRA reads:

The opportunity for an individual to obtain employment for which he is qualified, and to obtain all the accommodations, advantages, facilities and privileges of any public accommodation and of any housing accommodation and commercial property without discrimination because of race, color, familial status, religious creed, ancestry, handicap or disability, age, sex, national origin, the use of a guide or support animal because of the blindness, deafness or physical handicap of the user or because the user is a handler or trainer of support or guide animals is hereby recognized as and declared to be a civil right which shall be enforceable as set forth in this act.

44. The law’s definition of “public accommodation” includes

accommodation, resort or amusement which is open to, accepts or solicits the patronage of the general public, including but not limited to inns, taverns, roadhouses, hotels, motels, whether conducted for the entertainment of transient



guests or for the accommodation of those seeking health, recreation or rest, or restaurants or eating houses, or any place where food is sold for consumption on the premises, buffets, saloons, barrooms or any store, park or enclosure where spirituous or malt liquors are sold, ice cream parlors, confectioneries, soda fountains and all stores where ice cream, ice and fruit preparations or their derivatives, or where beverages of any kind are retailed for consumption on the premises, drug stores, dispensaries, clinics, hospitals, bathhouses, swimming pools, barber shops, beauty parlors, retail stores and establishments, theaters, motion picture houses, airdromes, roof gardens, music halls, race courses, skating rinks, amusement and recreation parks, fairs, bowling alleys, gymnasiums, shooting galleries, billiard and pool parlors, public libraries, kindergartens, primary and secondary schools, high schools, academies, colleges and universities, extension courses and all educational institutions under the supervision of this Commonwealth, nonsectarian cemeteries, garages and all public conveyances operated on land or water or in the air as well as the stations, terminals and airports thereof, financial institutions and all Commonwealth facilities and services, including such facilities and services of all political subdivisions thereof, but shall not include any accommodations which are in their nature distinctly private.

45. The statute makes it illegal for any person in the position of either Defendants to with refuse withhold or deny any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities or privileges of such public accommodation, resort or amusement on the basis of a disability.

46. Plaintiff is an individual with disabilities within the definition set forth by the PHRA. As such, he is an individual with a physical impairment, that prevents the exercise of walking, a normal bodily function.

47. The PHRA makes it unlawful for an owner of a place of public accommodation to deny an individual with a disability, directly or indirectly, any of the advantages, facilities, or privileges of such a place of public accommodation. Defendants have failed to remove architectural barriers in Red Wine.

48. Prior allegations in the present instance case, are also alleged herein as they are related to the human rights violations under PHRA forming the basis of this cause of action, case and controversy.



49. Discrimination takes place once an owner of a public accommodation facility refuses to remove architectural barriers present at said facility, or refusal to take steps necessary to ensure that a disabled person that uses a mobility device is not excluded or denied services at places of public accommodation. Again, Defendant in the instant case has failed to remove architectural barriers, contrary to the PHRA.

50. According to the PHRA all persons in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania are entitled to full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities and privileges of any place of public accommodation unless limited otherwise by law.

51. Plaintiff is entitled to protection and remedies under PHRA as any other person in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; to wit, full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities and privileges of any place of public accommodation.

52. It is unlawful to discriminate against a person based on a disability, under PHRA. Defendants have incurred in violation of Pennsylvania Law by discriminating against Plaintiff by operating a facility that does not provide full and equal privileges to people with disabilities who rely on devices like wheelchairs for mobility.

53. Defendants' failure to observe the law is ongoing and continuous, requiring declaratory and injunctive relief appropriate by means of PHRA as well as per the ADA and its implementing regulations.

54. Defendants' failure to observance of the law is ongoing and continuous, requiring declaratory and injunctive relief appropriate by means of PHRA as well as Fed. R. Civ. P. 57, and 28 U.S.C. § 2201 as well as per the ADA and its implementing regulations.

55. Plaintiff alleges and affirms:

1) He has suffered a personalized and concrete injury-in-fact of a legally cognizable interest; that is, Plaintiff was denied by Defendants of access and participation of; and the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations provided by Red Wine, as guaranteed under Federal Law and State Law

2) The Plaintiff's injury is traceable to the Defendants' conduct, as denial of participation and equal access to services is exclusively due to Defendants' lack of implementation of removal of architectural barrier and accessibility design implementation for the benefit of people with disabilities, again as mandated by State and Federal Law (3) It is likely, as opposed to speculative, that a favorable court decision will redress the plaintiff's injury, as injunctive relief provided by the Court on its day, will provide for the removal of architectural barriers present that impede the provision of equal access and services to Plaintiff at the facilities of Red Wine, which effectively resolves the legal issue in this instance case.

### **RELIEF REQUESTED**

The Plaintiff again demands trial by jury on all issues of the instant case, pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure; and that the Court provides:

a. Declaratory Judgement against Defendants based on a violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act, by means of remedies enforced through 42 U.S.C.

§12188(a)(1); Pennsylvania Human Relations Act and title 44 of the Pennsylvania Code, because the Defendants' facilities as described above are inaccessible to, and not independently usable by, individuals with disabilities who use devices for purposes of mobility;

b. A Permanent Injunction pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188(a)(2) and 28 C.F.R. § 36.504(a) enjoining the Defendant from further discriminating against disabled persons, and requiring Defendant to remove architectural barriers present at the Red Wine Restaurant by all means necessary to bring said facilities into compliance with the ADA, its rules and regulations, so access is always provided to disabled individuals with mobility issues, now and from on henceforth.

c. Damages, in an amount to be determined by this Court;

d. Reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit; and

e. Any other relief as this Court sees fit to provide to resolve the issues in the instant case.

**RESPECTFULLY,**

**LENTO LAW GROUP, P.C.**

BY: 

JOANA A. FEINSTEIN, ESQUIRE

Lento Law Group, P.C.

1500 Market Street, 12th Floor, East Tower

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102

267-833-0200

[jfeinstein@Lentolawgroup.com](mailto:jfeinstein@Lentolawgroup.com)

Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: May 15, 2020

**LAW OFFICES OF KEITH ALTMAN**

BY: \_\_\_\_\_



JS 44 (Rev. 08/18)

# CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

## I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

Eduardo Rosario

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff  
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

Lento Law Group, P.C.  
1500 Market Street, 12th Floor - East Tower, Phila., PA 19102

## DEFENDANTS

Alex Torres Productions, Inc., and La Guira, Inc d/b/a Red Wine Restaurant

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Philadelphia  
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

## II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff  
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant  
☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)  
☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

## III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in This State	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	<b>PERSONAL INJURY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	<b>PERSONAL INJURY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability <b>PERSONAL PROPERTY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other <b>LABOR</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act <b>IMMIGRATION</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 <b>PROPERTY RIGHTS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark <b>SOCIAL SECURITY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) <b>FEDERAL TAX SUITS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609
<b>REAL PROPERTY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<b>CIVIL RIGHTS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	<b>PRISONER PETITIONS</b> <b>Habeas Corpus:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty <b>Other:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	<input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark <b>SOCIAL SECURITY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) <b>FEDERAL TAX SUITS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes

## V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding  
☐ 2 Removed from State Court  
☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court  
☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened  
☐ 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)  
☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer  
☐ 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):

## VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Brief description of cause:  
not wheelchair accessible

## VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.

DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND: ☐ Yes ☐ No

## VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions):

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

DATE  
06/04/2020

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD  
Joseph Lento, Esquire

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT #

AMOUNT

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG JUDGE



**INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44****Authority For Civil Cover Sheet**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows.

- I. (a) **Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) **County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) **Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. **Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.
  - United States plaintiff: (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.
  - United States defendant: (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.
  - Federal question: (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.
  - Diversity of citizenship: (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. **Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. **Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions](#)
- V. **Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
  - Original Proceedings: (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
  - Removed from State Court: (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441.
  - Remanded from Appellate Court: (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
  - Reinstated or Reopened: (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.
  - Transferred from Another District: (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
  - Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer: (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.
  - Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File: (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. **Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553. Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. **Requested in Complaint.** Class Action: Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand: In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand: Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. **Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

**Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.